

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/591,800	Applicant(s) DAI ET AL.
		Examiner CAROLYN SMITH	Art Unit 1631

All Participants:

(1) CAROLYN SMITH.

(2) Tineka Quinton.

Date of Interview: 1 April 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11am

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
54, 58-67, 94-104

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

4/4/11
 /Carolyn Smith/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Tineka Quinton agreed to the following Examiner's Amendment:

In claim 54, line 31, after "genes"; insert "in".

In claim 61, line 3, after "2"; insert "2".